Lobbying, Big Pharma, Media And Exiting the WHO



- ► Shabnam Palesa Mohamed
- Strategic activist, impact journalist, mediation lawyer

Why/How we must #StopTheWHO

- General (architecture, structure, immunity/warranty)
- Issues with the WHO (funding, COI, corruption, failures, injury) *
- Current issues with the WHO: PCR, RPM, Vaccines, Costs, No Reform
- Future issues with the WHO: Monopoly, Pandemic Engineering
- PPP are a front for multinational corporations and a means to colonise
- Weapons: IHR amendments, #PandemicAccord, Future Frameworks etc.
- Solutions: Investigate, expose, defund, exit, boycott, work with the WCH
- ▶ Tactics: Ultra vires. FOIA. Accountability-Reparations. Legal case precedents
- ▶ Films to watch: Infertility A Diabolical Agenda. Trust WHO




WHO transfers accountability...

Tedros:

- "WHO is an expression of Member States' own sovereignty, and WHO is entirely what the sovereign 194 Member States want WHO to be."
- "WHO's job is to support countries our Member States as they negotiate and agree on an accord to commit to protecting future generations from pandemics.
 - Our mandate is 100% determined by Member States and what they agree.


Africa at the World Health Assembly 75:

- "The African region shares the view that the process should not be fast tracked..."
- "We find that they are going too quickly and these sorts of reforms can't be rushed through,"
- United call for inclusiveness, consent, transparency and respect for sovereignty





The GLobal Public Private Partnership



Bank for International Settlements (B.I.S)

They ultimately control the money supply and thus. global markets, trade and national economies.

Central Banks (Coordinated by the B.I.S)

They are "going direct" and directly funding government spending. Monetary policy has effectively become fiscal policy.

Think Tanks & Global Representative Groups

These formulate the polices to achieve GPPP objectives. Resource allocation determined by the B.I.S and Central Banks but they workin in "partnership" with the think tanks and the representative bodies to convert that into global political policy.

Global

Corp's

Rock-

These organisations and bodies take N.G.O policy directives from the policy makers and distribute them to the policy enforcers.

Selected Scientific Authorities

House

Philanth-

ropists

SAGE, NERVTAG, ICL, MHRA, JCVI etc.

The Policy Enforces exploit or work with the Selected Scientific Authorities to justify the policies they are required to enforce.

Policy Enforcers

National Governments

Civil Service, NHS, RRU, IPSO, Ofcom, Police, Military, Courts, Local Governemnts, Statutory Agencies etc.

Policy Propagandists

Propagandists and Hybrid Warfare Specialists

M.S.M, Fact Checkers (Politifact, Full Fact etc.), Social Media Platforms, Hybrid Warriors (77th Brigade, Huteighteen etc.), Anti-Hate Campaigners (CCE, CCDH etc)


The propagandists and hybrid warfare specialists are tasked with convioncing the public to accept and hopefully believe in the policies. They use psychological manipulation, disinformation, misinformation, censorship and propaganda.

Policy Subjects

The Public

We are the subjects of the policy which cascades down through the GPP system. We largely pay for the system through taxation and public borrowing. The system is designed to exploit us but we are an increasingly unnecessary component as the GPPP look to seize the global commons.

WHO: Justice, Law and Human Rights in the United Nations System



Nations, nor is it intended to be all-inclusive.

C

FINANCE AND FENSA

- WHO says budget unsustainable
- WHO needs 'no strings' budget
- Framework of engagement with NSA
- "new, agile and underutilised sources of funding, in accordance with the FENSA"
- WHO acknowledges conflicts of interest

Biennium	Total assessed contributions	Increase over current level of assessment	% of base budget 2022–2023
2022-2023	956.9	baseline	22%
2024-2025	1 148.3	20%	26%
2026-2027	1 550.2	35%	36%
2028-2029	2182	40%	50%

Principles

WHO's engagement with non-State actors is guided by the following overarching principles.

Any engagement must:

- (a) demonstrate a clear benefit to public health;
- (b) conform with WHO's Constitution, mandate and general programme of work
- (c) respect the intergovernmental nature of WHO and the decision-making authority of Member States as set out in the WHO's Constitution;
- support and enhance, without compromising, the scientific and evidence-based approach that underpins WHO's work;
- (e) protect WHO from any undue influence, in particular on the processes in setting and applying policies, norms and standards;¹
- (f) not compromise WHO's integrity, independence, credibility and reputation;
- (g) be effectively managed, including by, where possible avoiding conflict of interest² and other forms of risks to WHO;
- (h) be conducted on the basis of transparency, openness, inclusiveness, accountability, integrity and mutual respect.



source: <u>www.apps.who.int</u> [retrieved 20 May 2022]

WHO 2-track process to impose "One World Together" "One Health" – "Health in All Policies"

Δ

WHO 74th Assembly (extraordinary)

A new "Treaty",
Convention or
international instrument
procedure is adopted
on 1 December 2021



Basic Documents, Forty-fifth edition, Supplement, October 2006

This text replaces that on pages 1-18 of the Forty-fifth edition of Basic documents, following the coming into force of amendments adopted by the Fifty-first World Health Assembly.

CONSTITUTION OF THE WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION¹

THE STATES Parties to this Constitution declare, in conformity with the Charter of the United Nations, that the following principles are basic to the happiness, harmonious relations and security of all peoples:

Health is a state of complete physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity.

The enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of health is one of the fundamental rights of every human being without distinction of race, religion, political belief, economic or social condition.

The health of all peoples is fundamental to the attainment of peace and security and is dependent upon the fullest co-operation of individuals and States.

The achievement of any State in the promotion and protection of health is of value to all.

Unequal development in different countries in the promotion of health and control of disease, especially communicable disease, is a common danger.

Healthy development of the child is of basic importance; the ability to live harmoniously in a changing total environment is essential to such development.

The extension to all peoples of the benefits of medical, psychological and related knowledge is essential to the fullest attainment of health.

Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people.

Governments have a responsibility for the health of their peoples which can be fulfilled only by the provision of adequate health and social measures.

ACCEPTING THESE PRINCIPLES, and for the purpose of co-operation among themselves and with others to permote and protect the health of all acceptes, the Contracting Parties agree to the present Constitution and

The Constitution was adopted by the International Health Conference held in New York from 19 Jane.

¹ The Constitution was adopted by the International Health Conference held in New York from 19 J to 22 July 1946, spaged on 22 July 1946, where presentaives of 61 States (Gf. Rec. Wild Hilbs Org. 160), and entered into force on 7 April 1948. Annondments adopted by the Twenty-with, Twenty-altray-flush Hilbs Hilbs Assemblates (resolutions WHA2-63, WHA2-38, WHA3-56 WHA3-12) came us for force on 3 February 1977, 20 January 1984, 11 July 1994 and 15 September 2 respectively and are incorporated in the present text.



R

the United States submits

major amendments to 13 articles of IHR to be adopted at the WHO 75th Assembly 22-28 May 2022

Article 21

The Health Assembly shall have authority to adopt regulations concernng:

- (a) sanitary and quarantine requirements and other procedures designed to prevent the international spread of disease;
- (b) nomenclatures with respect to diseases, causes of death and public health practices;
- (c) standards with respect to diagnostic procedures for international use;
- (d) standards with respect to the safety, purity and potency of biological, pharmaceutical and similar products moving in international commerce;
- (e) advertising and labelling of biological, pharmaceutical and similar products moving in international commerce.

Legal framework of A + B

WHO Constitution with the new IHR will govern the world, superseding all constitutions of all member states

(within 6 to 24 months from "adoption")

Public participation – For WHO?

- ▶ WHO Constitution: A framework convention or agreement can be adopted under Articles 19-20 and require a 2/3 vote.

 Regulations can be adopted under Articles 21-22 and require a simple majority. Recommendations can be adopted under Article 23 of the WHO constitution.
- ▶ The Intergovernmental Negotiating Body (INB) meets Monday, July 18, 2022 to Friday July 22, 2022 to discuss the WHO CAII.
- There were over 36,000 written public comments submitted back in April 2022 and the majority of those comments opposed any form of agreement.
- ▶ The WHO cancelled a previously scheduled public comment period in June 2022.
- ▶ The INB has also stated that public comments will be accepted sometime in September, 2022
- ► The INB published a working draft document of the WHO CAII on July 13, 2022 (LAC to unpack this week)
- ▶ The WHO is focused on a concept referred to as "One Health" that seeks to control every aspect of life on earth.
- ▶ Pay attention to Part 4, specifically Section 13 (control of information) and Section 14 (financing) of the working draft.
- What is missing from the working draft: there is no evaluation of what went wrong over the past 2.5 years; there is no ban on gain of function research; there is very little focus on health treatments and therapies; there is no patient bill of rights; and there is no support for enabling doctors and patients to make their own decisions.
- Organized opposition to the WHO is needed.
- https://jamesroguski.substack.com/p/ten-things-everyone-needs-to-know
- ▶ NB: WHO held a meeting on Africa last Thursday. WHO Africa Briefing on Health Issues in Africa.

Lobbying - influencing decisions



What does lobbying enable?

Big Pharma crimes

WHO Complicity

LOBBYING

Corruption of regulatory agencies and journals

Capture of Govts and Media



THE MEDICAL INDUSTRIAL COMPLEX Profit * Power * Control * Exploitation * Ableism * Oppression * Violence * Trauma Multi-National Curriculum. Alternative & **Pharmaceutical** Beliefs, Studies, Corporations, Natural Medicines Science & Companies Distributors Health Research. Industry Medicine Experimentation Schools, Research, Practitioners Non-western & Medical Practices, Beliefs & Leaders Alternative Healing Schools State/Private Mental Hospitals. Health Assisted Reproductive Faith-based & Forced Healing Biogenetic Technologies Clinics, Health Industry Doctors, Nurses, Centers Practitioners Surgeries, Reproductive Cosmetic Public/ Private Supplies, Control. Medical Bio-colonialism Institutions & Services Drugs Medical Practices. Sterilization. Procedures Examinations. Contraceptives Scientists. Surgeries, Procedures, Drug & Addiction Therapy. Researchers. Equipment, Suppliers Psychology Facilities & Eugenics Desirability Genetic **Programs** Testina. Wheelchairs, Canes, Psychiatry Vaccines Walkers, Ventilators, Population Charity & Drug Testing Vans, Lifts, Needles Advocacy. Prison Psychiatric Control Ableism Fundraising Wards, Forced Physical Lethal Injections Prosthetics. Medicalization & Service Provision Therapy Non-Profits Assisted Braces Institutionalization Living Healthcare State Federal, State, City Assistive Devices. Provision & Disability Prison Industrial Facilities for Equipment & Elderly, Sick Services & State Provided Care Complex Prisoners Services & Disabled (Nurses, Personal **Programs** Attendants) Group Homes, Department of Mental Nursing Safety Access Providers. Insurance Health, Case Workers. Homes Schools "Doctor's Note" Companies Posted on leavingevidence.wordpress.com Version: 2015.1

Lobbying - influencing decisions

- Like other interest groups, major industries and leading corporations seek to influence legislation and regulation, in their case typically to ensure it's as favorable as possible to the bottom line.
- Corporate political activity can take the form of campaign contributions, but companies and industries also employ lobbyists to make their views known to lawmakers and regulators.
- ► The pharmaceutical and health products industry has spent the most on lobbying over the past 24 years. Other industries that spend heavily on lobbying efforts include insurance, electric utilities, electronics manufacturing, and business associations.
- Pharmaceuticals/Health Products: \$5,170,516,263. Spending \$5.17 billion over the past 24 years, the pharmaceutical and health products industry has far outpaced all others in lobbying spending. The industry spent a record \$356.6 million on lobbying in 2021, nearly 30% more in nominal terms than it did in 2009
- This includes spending on behalf of drug manufacturers as well as sellers of medical products and nutritional and dietary supplements. The main drugmakers' lobbying group, Pharmaceutical Research and Manufacturers of America, remained the biggest spender within the health care sector in 2021 with outlays of \$30.4 million.
- ► How Much Does Pfizer Spend on Lobbying? In 2021, Pfizer spent \$10.3 million on lobbying. It was the fourth-largest political spender within the pharmaceutical/health product industry.1

https://www.investopedia.com/investing/which-industry-spends-most-lobbying-antm-so/

Return on investment

THE **Big Fossil** Multinationals An Ordinary American Big Pharma Lobby for a corporate Lobbies to keep Invests in one Labbles for AMAZING **BLUE CHIP STOCK DRUG PRICES HIGH OIL SUBSIDIES** TAX BREAK \$220 If you invested in DOW tones ROI: ROI: ROI: CHETSES OF PETERSON redustrial Average compa-OF CORPORATE nies in 2000, you would have 5,900% 22,000% 77,500% carned an average of is cents. LOBBYING for every dollar invested. 5775 Compare the ex-The off, gws and cast industry in spor, 93 US consurations. President Bush created our heavily lobbies Washington. Sederal prescription drag lubbied for a temporary tax pected return for During the 111th Congress break to bring their affabore every dollar spentprogram for peniors in 2003. they gave \$547 million in profits back to US hardes. They The phermaceutical industry liabbying blows ony compalgn contributions. The spert Sess million lubbying to onfinary investment argued that the money saved \$1.11 same Congress approved over ber Medicare from bergsining stralegy out of the would be spent on creeting San billion in subsidies to the for computative drug prices. weter; even it blace basel had industry. chip stock. It's no wonder St.s Milian The companies spent \$557. If Medicare could regulists drug prices, it would save were spent looklying For every dollar speet on raillion lobbying for the mealobbying, \$59 were received sure, which passed, decause approximately Spo billion a in appen. in subsidies. That's as NOI of of it, they guid \$64 billion year far prescriptions. That's less in tases. That's an 901 of a 77,500% 901 for the drug 6,000% 12,500% COMPANIES. DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY. (The companies only spent THE RESERVE TO SELECT 6% of their savings on new RECOVER DOM: MANAGEST DE old.com batters (36) mar at DOM: N are unitadocould in one

Case study: EU Lobbying

PHARMA INDUSTRY'S EU LOBBYING

Big Pharma is among the most powerful industry sectors in Brussels.
With an army of lobbyists, pharmaceutical corporations continuously lobby EU decision makers to ensure private interests and profits come before public health - even during a pandemic.

BIG PHARMA SPENDS

(OR MORE)
ANNUALLY TO
INFLUENCE THE EU

MORE THAN


290 LOBBYISTS



IN BRUSSELS PUSH FOR THE INDUSTRY'S INTERESTS

Source: www.corporateeurope.org, May 2021

Does lobbying increase bottom line?



Lobbying the media

- The media is supposed to function as a truth to power pillar of democracy— and a means of giving us information to create the society we want to live in.
- Media consolidation has narrowed the perspectives the public is privy to, ownership and funding of these corporations is infected with conflicts of interest
- Key stories that challenge the profit agenda are buried and big tech companies censor and demonetize independent outlets trying to break through the agenda
- ▶ Big pharma advertises in or funds projects within media companies, eg Gates
- Revolving door between pharma and media eg Reuters Thompson and TNI
- More than two-thirds (69%) of all U.S. news revenue comes from advertising. The concern is this: if the media is so dependent on advertisers to stay afloat, they may bend to those companies' wishes when it comes to editorial decisions.

Case studies – advertisers vs media

- ▶ In a 1992 survey of editors at daily newspapers, a staggering 90% reported that advertisers tried to influence stories and applied economic pressure in response to reporting and 37% of editors had given in to that advertiser pressure.
- A 2000 Pew Research and Columbia Journalism Review survey found that 30% of journalists admit to purposely avoiding newsworthy stories or "softening the tone" of stories that could adversely affect advertisers.
- A 2013 study of U.S. daily newspapers showed that conflicts between the business and journalism sides of these operations are rampant. Advertising directors at chain-owned newspapers and small newspapers were more likely to support compromising editorial integrity in order to please or avoid riling advertisers.
- ► A 2009 study revealed that whether due to overt pressures or a subconscious desire to please advertisers, publishers tend to give their sponsors special treatment.
- In a 2021 Mass Communication & Society study, most editors admitted that they feel advertisers pose a significant source of pressure on the newsroom.
- ▶ A 1992 study examined this potential influence and found dozens of examples where news organizations buried stories out of fear of offending advertisers. Some of them didn't even attempt to deny or hide it. The Arkansas Democrat-Gazette had outright told a columnist not to criticize advertisers, and at the time, the managing editor said: "We do not hire opinion writers to trash advertisers ... No newspaper would do that."

Case Study: Aspen South Africa



Q Search

084

Write an article



Serious Questions Raised About Aspen Destroying 30 million J and J Vaccine Doses

- When it comes to transparency, few industries are less open than the pharmaceutical companies.
- According to <u>Transparency International</u>, in 2016, one in ten <u>corruption</u> investigations by US authorities involved pharmaceutical companies, which is significantly higher than the banking sector.
- Aspen's <u>shareholders</u> include a diverse array such as Colonial First State Global Asset Management, Blackrock, Vanguard, trade union CEPPWAWU, and government employee pension schemes.
- https://www.trialsitenews.com/a/serious-questions-raised-about-aspen-destroying-30-million-j-and-j-vaccine-doses

Follow the money to find the monopolies



WORLD **NEWS PLATFORM**

ERROR: 404

404

The news you are looking for does not exist.

South Africa Last news

07:55

Senzo Meyiwa murder trial to resume on Monday



President Cyril Ramaphosa File photo: Phando Jikelo African News Agency (ANA)

Published Aug 4, 2019











A businessman whose company scored a R2 billion pharmaceutical tender in 2015 is among the donors who gave a total of R1bn to President Cyril Ramaphosa's ANC leadership campaign in 2017.

Stavros Nicolaou, of pharmaceutical company Aspen, donated millions of rand to the CR17 campaign trust account through an organisation called the Hellenic, Italian and Portuguese Alliance (HIP Alliance) in 2017.

The Power of the People is Greater



Law, Justice and Human Rights: Some ideas or solutions to massive violation of human rights, and criminal abuse of law and justice

C

CONSTITUTION OF WHO

1

Article 19

The Health Assembly shall have authority to adopt conventions or agreements with respect to any matter within the competence of the Organization. A two-thirds vote of the Health Assembly shall be required for the adoption of such conventions or agreements, which shall come into force for each Member when accepted by it in accordance with its constitutional processes.

Article 20

Each Member undertakes that it will, within eighteen months after the adoption by the Health Assembly of a convention or agreement, take action relative to the acceptance of such convention or agreement. Each Member shall notify the Director-General of the action taken, and if it does not accept such convention or agreement within the time limit, it will furnish a statement of the reasons for non-acceptance. In case of acceptance, each Member agrees to make an annual report to the Director-General in accordance with Chapter XIV.

Article 21

The Health Assembly shall have authority to adopt regulations concerning:

- (a) sanitary and quarantine requirements and other procedures designed to prevent the international spread of disease;
- (b) nomenclatures with respect to diseases, causes of death and public health practices;
- (c) standards with respect to diagnostic procedures for international use;
- (d) standards with respect to the safety, purity and potency of biological, pharmaceutical and similar products moving in international commerce:
- (e) advertising and labelling of biological, pharmaceutical and similar products moving in international commerce.

Article 22

Regulations adopted pursuant to Article 21 shall come into force for all Members after due notice has been given of their adoption by the Health Assembly except for such Members as may notify the Director-General of rejection or reservations within the period stated in the notice.

Potential Writings & Declarations

I - Counter legally the UN system

Letter of opposition or reservation to WHO DG under article 20 + 22 of the WHO Constitution within 6 months after adoption

Letter of opposition to the government by question/opposing any decision in citizens name

Security council and International UN Justice system → ICC/ICJ?

II - Representativity and self-determination of people

Letter of opposition concerning the representativity of "member states"

+
strengthen self-determination of the population, culture (UN legal tools)

+
strengthen local regional constitutions

III - EXIT the System

(WHO and/or UN System as a whole with all World Agendas, SDGs, technologies, etc)

Letter of opposition and exit based on the Universal UN Charter and OHCHR

(for example based on article 110 UN Charter "ratification and signature", historical basis or many other reasons)

Reservation
Opposition
EXIT

JAM VS IFC – US SC

- Jam v. International Finance Corp., 586 U.S. ___ (2019), was a United States Supreme Court case =
- The Supreme Court ruled that international organizations, such as the World Bank Group's financing arm, the International Finance Corporation, can be sued in US federal courts for conduct arising from their commercial activities.
- It specifically held that international organizations shared the same sovereign immunity as foreign governments.
- This was a reversal from existing jurisprudence, which held that international organizations (unlike foreign governments) had near-absolute immunity from lawsuits under the Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act and the International Organizations Immunities Act.

CUBAN DOCTORS VS PAHO

- Cuban physicians pressed into service to treat poor patients in Brazil can proceed with a suit to hold the Pan American Health Organization liable for its role in facilitating a program that allegedly violated human trafficking laws because the group doesn't have immunity, the D.C. Circuit said
- The Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act's "commercial activity" exception applied to wrest PAHO of immunity for brokering Brazil's "Mais Médicos" program, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit said.
- The court affirmed a judgment <u>denying</u> PAHO's motion to dismiss the suit on the ground that it's immune under the International Organizations Immunity Act

CUBAN DOCTORS VS PAHO

- In a victory for human rights, the U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia upheld the right of victims of a human-trafficking network to sue a subsidiary of the United Nations' World Health Organization in a U.S. court. The decision is a warning to the U.N. to clean up its act.
- The four Cuban doctors who filed the class-action suit against the Pan American Health Organization allege they became forced labor under a 2013-18 contract between Cuba and Brazil. The plaintiffs claim that PAHO acted as financial intermediary for the two parties, using its U.S. bank accounts to convert Brazilian reais to dollars and move the money to the Cuban regime.
- "PAHO collected hundreds of millions of dollars every year from Brazil and it remitted 85% to Cuba, paid 10% or less to the doctors, and kept 5% for itself," the lawsuit alleges.
- PAHO argued that the case should be dismissed because the International Organization Immunity Act protects it from lawsuits. But in November 2020 Judge James Boasberg found that PAHO is not entitled to "absolute" immunity when allegations involve commercial activity in the U.S.

STRATEGY MOBILISE AND EDUCATE

- Exit, for eg via the African Union (challenges)
- Coalitions eg: African Sovereignty Coalition
- Raise awareness on the ground and online
- Demand that the WHO not be funded by us
- Expose the WHO/WEF/Blackrock/Vanguard
- Understand conflicts of interest and how to address

Compare WHO to World Council for Health

WHO: The Past

- Profit over people
- Conflicts of interest
- Unethical decisions
- Misconduct and harm
- Impossible to reform
- No transparency
- No accountability
- Excludes public participation
- Does not value civil society
- Expensive to fund and maintain

WCH: The Present and Future

- Values: Freedom, community, integrity, transparency, empowerment
- Zero conflicts of interest
- Act in honour, do no harm
- Open to evolving and adapting
- Transparent and ethical
- Encourages colab and solutions
- Most partners are grassroots orgs
- Funded by the people, not pharma

African Sovereignty Coalition



- Inhumane experiments by WHO
- Racism within WHO
- Corruption in WHO
- Colonisation through WHO
- Africa CDC vs WHO
- How to take back our Power
- https://live.childrenshealthdefense
 .org/african-sovereignty coalition-launch-africa-unites against-the-who-power-grab



Take Back Power with Shabnam Palesa Mohamed

Africa objects to US proposal on controversial IHR amendments

Meanwhile India discovers irregularities in WHO financial audit

Asian Solidarity Coalition



Afro-East-Asia-Oceania Coalition





Definitions of Conflicts of Interest

Ethical

A conflict of interest arises when what is in a person's best interest is not in the best interest of another person or organization to which that individual owes loyalty.

Legal

Conflict of interest.

n. a situation in which a person has a duty to more than one person or organization, but cannot do justice to the actual or potentially adverse interests of both parties.

Business

Situation in which the concerns or aims of two different parties are incompatible.

eg "the conflict of interest between elected officials and corporate lobbyists"

a situation in which a person is in a position to derive personal benefit from actions or decisions made in their official capacity.

Understanding ONFLICTS OF INTERESTINHEALTH WORKSHOP

To understand the challenge to our health and freedom, we need to look behind the curtain and take our power back



Fahrie Hassan



Shabnam Palesa Mohamed



Time TBC

13 August 2022

Saturday

with guest speakers

to be announced



To partner or support info@THJ-Africa.org.za

Partners include

African Sovereignty Coalition. World Council for Health. Childrens Health Defense

Ubuntu – Humanity, Togetherness Umuntu Ngumuntu Ngabantu

Paulo Freire

Because love is an act of courage, not of fear, love is a commitment to others.

No matter where the oppressed are found, the act of love is commitment to their cause – the cause of liberation.

Robert F Kennedy

Each time a man stands up for an ideal, or acts to improve the lot of others, or strikes out against injustice, he sends forth a tiny ripple of hope,

and crossing each other from a million different centers of energy and daring those ripples build a current which can sweep down the mightiest walls of oppression and resistance.

Nelson Mandela

"For to be free is not merely to cast off one's chains,

but to live in a way that respects and enhances the freedom of others."

Arundhati Roy

Not only is another world possible, she is on her way.

On a quiet day, I can hear her breathing

#StopTheTreaty – We reached 415m people in 7 days

MANDELA DAY 18 JULY 2022

THERE CAN BE NO KEENER REVELATION OF A SOCIETY'S SOUL THAN THE WAY IN WHICH IT TREATS ITS CHILDREN. NELSON MANDELA





www.childrenshealthdefense.co.za

Report negative jab effects in South Africa www.SAVAERS.co.za

The Power of the People is Greater than People in Power

SIYABONGA, SHUKRAN, THANK YOU

- Shabnam Palesa Mohamed
- World Council for Health steering committee
- Transformative Health Justice (SA VAERS) CEO
- Childrens Health Defence Africa executive director
- African Sovereignty Coalition founder and convenor
- ▶ International Alliance for Justice and Democracy member